ADDENDUM No. 1

Request for Proposals

Countywide Sign Inventory Plan

Pursuant to the Request for Proposals for the *Countywide Sign Inventory Plan*, the deadline to submit written questions was **August 31, 2018**. This Addendum addresses questions received through that date.

Question #1: Is there any idea of the number of signs in the study area?

Response: The County of Lake has approximately 7,500 signs in their database, the City of Lakeport, 1,367 and the City of Clearlake 1,100, for an approximate total of **9,967** signs.

Question #2: Task 2.2 deals with retroreflectivity. Based on the third bullet point of the task, two kinds of assessment methods seem to be required for the project. Both a manual "pass/fail" (relying on human judgement) and use of retroreflectometer (with no personal judgment involved) are discussed. This would be expensive and redundant. It is recommended that one method or the other be used, but not both. Is there a preference for the subject project?

Response: The use of a retroreflectivity device is the preferred method for the project.

Question #3: Can you provide more information on the databases maintained by the County and by Lakeport?

Response: The database used by the County of Lake is called Win CAMS (by Cascade Software Systems). The City of Lakeport uses a program called Cartegraph.

Question #4: Will the project require payment of prevailing wages for Task 2.1 and Task 2.2?

Response: There is no requirement for prevailing wages in completing the project.

Question #5: What type of export file is needed for County of Lake and City of Lakeport?

Response: Any export files for the County of Lake and the City of Lakeport must be compatible with their current system.

Question #6: Is there a preference for the type of database to be built for the City of Clearlake?

Response: There is no preferred database. Lake APC is seeking recommendations as to the best fit for the City.

Question #7: Will this data need to be integrated into any of the existing GIS systems for the County or cities?

Response: While not required as part of a proposal, the ability to interface with existing GIS systems would likely be beneficial.

Question #8: What is the estimated budget for this project?

Response: In the interest of allowing for full and open price competition, the estimated budget will not be disclosed for the project.

Question #9: How many inventories do you have? (Number of existing traffic sign inventories)?

Response: Currently, there are 2 inventories; 1 for the City of Lakeport and 1 for the County of Lake.

Question #10: What version of StreetSaver is used?

Response: StreetSaver is not currently used for existing sign inventory databases by any of the jurisdictions; however, all three used the most current version for their pavement management program.

Question #11: Will a survey of MUTCD Information Signs be required as part of the project?

Response: Informational signs are to be included in the plan. However, most informational signs are located on State highways and any on city or county maintained roads would be a small, relatively insignificant, amount of the overall inventory.

Question #12: What sign management program(s) is Lake APC currently using and is this sign program currently being maintained?

Response: Lake APC does not currently use a sign database. Instead, the City of Lakeport (Cartegraph) and the County of Lake (WinCAMS) have relied on individual programs unique to their jurisdiction. As spelled out in the RFP, the City of Clearlake does not currently have a database in place.

Questions #13: Is there any current historical data/information in the existing sign database(s) that is desired to be kept/carried over with the new/updated database?

Response: A number of years has passed since either of the existing databases have been updated with no consistent documentation of when signs have been added or removed. Existing data within the recorded inventories should be noted if deemed to be relevant.

Question #14: Will the TAC look to decide on selecting one new or existing database (sign management program) or will each of the three jurisdictions be making their own selections?

Response: Both the City of Lakeport and the County have indicated their preference to continue using current programs. The City of Clearlake will be open to recommendations for a program from the selected firm as the plan evolves.